Low-loss polysilicon waveguides fabricated in an emulated high-volume electronics process

Jason S. Orcutt,^{1*} Sanh D. Tang,² Steve Kramer,² Karan Mehta,¹ Hanqing Li,¹ Vladimir Stojanović,¹ and Rajeev J. Ram¹

¹Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA ²Micron Technology, Inc., 8000 South Federal Way, Post Office Box 6, Boise, Idaho 83707, USA *jsorcutt@mit.edu

Abstract: We measure end-of-line polysilicon waveguide propagation losses of ~6-15 dB/cm across the telecommunication O-, E-, S-, C- and L-bands in a process representative of high-volume product integration. The lowest loss of 6.2 dB/cm is measured at 1550 nm in a polysilicon waveguide with a 120 nm x 350 nm core geometry. The reported waveguide characteristics are measured after the thermal cycling of the full CMOS electronics process that results in a 32% increase in the extracted material loss relative to the as-crystallized waveguide samples. The measured loss spectra are fit to an absorption model using defect state parameters to identify the dominant loss mechanism in the end-of-line and as-crystallized polysilicon waveguides.

©2012 Optical Society of America

OCIS Codes: (230.7370) Waveguides; (250.5300) Photonic integrated circuits; (220.4000) Microstructure fabrication.

References and links

- M. J. Kobrinski, B. A. Block, J.-F. Zheng, B. C. Barnett, E. Mohammed, M. Reshotko, F. Robertson, S. List, I. Young, and K. Cadien, "On-chip optical interconnects," Intel Technol. J. 8, 129–141 (2004).
- D. A. B. Miller, "Device requirements for optical interconnects to silicon chips," Proc. IEEE 97(7), 1166–1185 (2009).
- S. Beamer, C. Sun, Y.-J. Kwon, A. Joshi, C. Batten, V. Stojanović, and K. Asanović, "Re-architecting DRAM memory systems with monolithically integrated silicon photonics," in *International Symposium on Computer Architecture* (Association for Computing Machinery, New York 2010), 129–140.
- P. Dumon, W. Bogaerts, V. Wiaux, J. Wouters, S. Beckx, J. Van Campenhout, D. Taillaert, B. Luyssaert, P. Bienstman, D. Van Thourhout, and R. Baets, "Low-loss SOI photonic wires and ring resonators fabricated with deep UV lithography," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. 16(5), 1328–1330 (2004).
- 5. C. Gunn, "CMOS photonics for high-speed interconnects," IEEE Micro 26(2), 58-66 (2006).
- X. Zheng, J. Lexau, Y. Luo, H. Thacker, T. Pinguet, A. Mekis, G. Li, J. Shi, P. Amberg, N. Pinckney, K. Raj, R. Ho, J. E. Cunningham, and A. V. Krishnamoorthy, "Ultra-low-energy all-CMOS modulator integrated with driver," Opt. Express 18(3), 3059–3070 (2010), http://www.opticsinfobase.org/oe/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-18-3-3059.
- Y. Vlasov, W. M. J. Green, and F. Xia, "High-throughput silicon nanophotonic wavelength-insensitive switch for on-chip optical networks," Nat. Photonics 2(4), 242–246 (2008).
- T. Ohsawa, K. Fujita, K. Hatsuda, T. Higashi, M. Morikado, Y. Minami, T. Shino, H. Nakajima, K. Inoh, T. Hamamoto, and S. Watanabe, "An 18.5ns 128MB SOI DRAM with floating body cell," in *International Solid-State Circuits Conference* (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, New York, 2005), 459–609.
- J. A. Kash, "Leveraging optical interconnects in future supercomputers and servers," in *Proc. IEEE Symposium* on *High-Performance Interconnects* (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, New York 2008), 190– 194.
- A. M. Agarwal, L. Liao, J. S. Foresi, M. R. Black, X. Duan, and L. C. Kimerling, "Low-loss polycrystalline silicon waveguides for silicon photonics," J. Appl. Phys. 80(11), 6120–6123 (1996).
- K. Preston, S. Manipatruni, A. Gondarenko, C. B. Poitras, and M. Lipson, "Deposited silicon high-speed integrated electro-optic modulator," Opt. Express 17(7), 5118–5124 (2009), http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-17-7-5118.
- I. A. Young, E. Mohammed, J. T. S. Liao, A. M. Kern, S. Palermo, B. A. Block, M. R. Reshotko, and P. L. D. Chang, "Optical I/O technology for tera-scale computing," IEEE J. Solid-state Circuits 45(1), 235–248 (2010).

- S. Kalluri, M. Ziari, A. Chen, V. Chuyanov, W. H. Steier, D. Chen, B. Jalali, H. Fetterman, and L. R. Dalton, "Monolithic integration of waveguide polymer electrooptic modulators on VLSI circuitry," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. 8(5), 644–646 (1996).
- B. A. Block, T. R. Younkin, P. S. Davids, M. R. Reshotko, P. Chang, B. M. Polishak, S. Huang, J. Luo, and A. K. Y. Jen, "Electro-optic polymer cladding ring resonator modulators," Opt. Express 16(22), 18326–18333 (2008), http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-16-22-18326.
- G. Masini, L. Colace, and G. Assanto, "2.5 Gbit/s polycrystalline germanium-on-silicon photodetector operating from 1.3 to 1.55 μm," Appl. Phys. Lett. 82(15), 2524–2526 (2003).
- S. Assefa, F. Xia, and Y. A. Vlasov, "Reinventing germanium avalanche photodetector for nanophotonic on-chip optical interconnects," Nature 464(7285), 80–84 (2010).
- J. S. Orcutt, A. Khilo, C. W. Holzwarth, M. A. Popović, H. Li, J. Sun, T. Bonifield, R. Hollingsworth, F. X. Kärtner, H. I. Smith, V. Stojanović, and R. J. Ram, "Nanophotonic integration in state-of-the-art CMOS foundries," Opt. Express 19(3), 2335–2346 (2011), http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-19-3-2335.
- H.-C. Ji, K. H. Ha, I. S. Joe, S. G. Kim, K. W. Na, D. J. Shin, S. D. Suh, Y. D. Park, and C. H. Chung, "Optical interface platform for DRAM integration," in *Optical Fiber Communication Conference*, OSA Technical Digest (CD) (Optical Society of America, 2011), paper OThV4. http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=OFC-2011-OThV4
- J. S. Orcutt, S. D. Tang, S. Kramer, H. Li, V. Stojanović, and R. J. Ram, "Low-loss polysilicon waveguides suitable for integration within a high-volume electronics process," in *Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics*, Technical Digest (CD) (Optical Society of America, 2011), paper CThHH2. http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=CLEO: S and I-2011-CThHH2
- J. S. Foresi, M. R. Black, A. M. Agarwal, and L. C. Kimerling, "Losses in polycrystalline silicon waveguides," Appl. Phys. Lett. 68(15), 2052–2054 (1996).
- Q. Fang, J. F. Song, S. H. Tao, M. B. Yu, G. Q. Lo, and D. L. Kwong, "Low loss (~6.45 dB/cm) sub-micron polycrystalline silicon waveguide integrated with efficient SiON waveguide coupler," Opt. Express 16, 6425– 6432. http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-16-9-6425
- L. Liao, D. R. Lim, A. M. Agarwal, X. Duan, K. K. Lee, and L. C. Kimerling, "Optical transmission losses in polycrystalline silicon strip waveguides: effects of waveguide dimensions, thermal treatment, hydrogen passivation, and wavelength," J. Electron. Mater. 29(12), 1380–1386 (2000).
- S. Zhu, Q. Fang, M. B. Yu, G. Q. Lo, and D. L. Kwong, "Propagation losses in undoped and n-doped polycrystalline silicon wire waveguides," Opt. Express 17(23), 20891–20899 (2009), http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-17-23-20891.
- 24. S. Zhu, G. Q. Lo, J. D. Ye, and D. L. Kwong, "Influence of RTA and LTA on the optical propagation loss in polycrystalline silicon wire waveguides," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. **22**(7), 480–482 (2010).
- 25. C. W. Holzwarth, J. S. Orcutt, H. Li, M. A. Popović, V. Stojanović, J. L. Hoyt, R. J. Ram, and H. I. Smith, "Localized substrate removal technique enabling strong-confinement microphotonics in bulk Si CMOS processes," in *Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics*, Technical Digest (CD) (Optical Society of America, 2008), paper CThKK5. http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=CLEO-2008-CThKK5
- T. Barwicz and H. A. Haus, "Three-dimensional analysis of scattering losses due to sidewall roughness in microphotonic waveguides," IEEE J. Lightwave Technol. 23(9), 2719–2732 (2005).
- S. Sridaran and S. A. Bhave, "Nanophotonic devices on thin buried oxide Silicon-On-Insulator substrates," Opt. Express 18(4), 3850–3857 (2010), http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-18-4-3850.
- J. E. Cunningham, I. Shubin, X. Zheng, T. Pinguet, A. Mekis, Y. Luo, H. Thacker, G. Li, J. Yao, K. Raj, and A. V. Krishnamoorthy, "Highly-efficient thermally-tuned resonant optical filters," Opt. Express 18(18), 19055–19063 (2010), http://www.opticsinfobase.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-18-18-19055.
- 29. http://www.research.ibm.com/DAMOCLES/html_files/phys.html
- W. B. Jackson, N. M. Johnson, and D. K. Biegelsen, "Density of gap states of silicon grain boundaries determined by optical absorption," Appl. Phys. Lett. 43(2), 195–197 (1983).

1. Introduction

Integration of silicon photonic devices alongside traditional silicon electronics has been a continuing research effort to provide high bandwidth-density communication channels with lower energy requirements than electronic links [1–3]. Although thick-buried-oxide (BOX), silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafers have become the dominant monolithic silicon photonic platform due to the easy formation of low-loss waveguides [4–7], the majority of VLSI applications (e.g. microprocessors, systems-on-chip (SOCs) and field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs)) and manufacturers require the use of bulk-silicon wafers instead (for cost and performance reasons – e.g. thermal impact of thick BOX). For high-volume, high-density memory products such as DRAM, bulk-silicon wafers also remain the dominant production platform. SOI-based memory processes have failed to gain widespread acceptance due to the higher wafer cost and existing bulk manufacturing base [8]. To enable the silicon photonic

system application of replacing the existing chip-to-chip core-to-memory electrical links of a computer system with integrated photonic links, photonic devices must be intimately integrated with the DRAM and CMOS microprocessor chips [3]. As such, further development of integrated photonic platforms that utilize bulk-silicon wafers is required.

It is possible to avoid substrate incompatibility between the dominant silicon photonic and electronic platforms by adopting a non-monolithic layer stacking approach. Low-parasitic through silicon via (TSV) interconnection and bonding strategies enable a separately fabricated SOI photonic layer to be integrated with the existing bulk-silicon electronic die as part of a 3D platform [6, 9]. Indeed, memory vendors are already exploring bonding multiple memory die to increase storage density per package. Although this may prove to be a valuable approach, there are several limitations. For a given 3D layer count, a photonic access layer would reduce the storage capacity of the end product by occupying an available stack layer. Also, the energy budget of the total optical interconnect link must be expanded to include the parasitic-limited electrical interlayer communication as well as decrease in energy-efficiency of optical link backend circuits resulting from poorer transistor performance in thick-BOX SOI processes.

Instead of relying on a separate photonic layer, several past research efforts have proposed monolithically adding photonic devices into the backend stackup of a CMOS process where the starting substrate does not affect functionality [10–13]. Significant technical progress has been made to fabricate photonic devices under the unique low-temperature and complex topography conditions of the electronic backend process in recent years [14, 15]. However, backend photonic integration would add new mask steps and wafer processing to the electronic manufacturing process. This constraint is particularly severe for DRAM manufacturing. The cost sensitivity and yield constraints of the memory market limit the number of process steps and masks to the absolute minimums required. Steps that cannot be shared with existing electronic processing represent significant overall cost burdens.

To provide photonic integration with minimum impact to the overall system, front-end integration with a deposited high-index core layer is required. By integrating the photonic layer into the front-end process, all following process steps that are used to form the transistors can be leveraged to form the active and passive photonic devices. These existing steps include high-resolution lithography, low edge-roughness etching, multiple doping implants, activation annealing, silicidation, high-aspect ratio contact vias and many levels of low parasitic metal interconnect. Given this vast toolset, many active and passive photonic elements can be integrated with no increase in fabrication cost and complexity. The high thermal budget of this point of the process flow also allows for the integration of high quality photodetectors as demonstrated in recent work [16]. To maximally leverage the available processing steps, the photonic integration point studied in this work is to utilize the polysilicon transistor gate layer as the waveguide core. All contact and most implant process steps occur after the deposition of this layer. Additionally, the existing photolithography used for this layer is among the highest resolution patterning steps available within the existing electronics process. The polysilicon waveguide layer is separated from the underlying bulksilicon wafer substrate by the oxide trench isolation used to electrically isolate transistors. The backend metal and dielectric interconnect stackup is then fabricated on top of the polysilicon waveguides.

We have previously demonstrated an example of such a platform in a bulk-CMOS process [17]. However, the mask-share, generic process model of the CMOS foundry under study in past work prevented process optimization and has limited the end-of-line polysilicon waveguide loss to ~55 dB/cm at 1550nm. In this work, we study an optimized polysilicon deposition and crystallization process to reduce the end-of-line waveguide loss. Although this platform is equally applicable to future bulk-CMOS processes, the focus of this study will be a DRAM fabrication process. DRAM products couple the process and mask design such that high-volume manufacturing processes are optimized to the specific memory product under

production. The process flow of the overall DRAM product may then be optimized to include photonic-specific modifications to achieve system goals while minimizing cost and complexity. It also opens new avenues for stacked DRAM development and further improvements in communication efficiency, by enabling photonics within the stack and in each DRAM stack-layer [3].

Recently, reports of solid phase epitaxy (SPE) silicon waveguides [18] and polysilicon waveguides [19] have demonstrated 6.1 dB/cm and 6.2 dB/cm propagation losses respectively in DRAM integrable platforms. The recently-proposed single-crystalline SPE silicon platform requires the deposition and recrystallization of an additional front-end layer to serve as a waveguide core. In addition to the cost of the additional layer, the yield of the fabricated devices may be coupled to the heterogeneous crystallization regions formed during SPE [18]. Although this approach may prove to be a valuable integration platform, we instead optimize the existing polysilicon layer present in the process as the transistor gate for use as an alternate low-optical loss waveguide core. Here, we further report on the polysilicon waveguide platform that enables a high-yield, low-loss photonic platform within high-volume memory products with minimal increases in fabrication cost and complexity. The fabrication flow in a 300 mm wafer facility was designed to emulate high-volume memory integration by including the thermal cycling and full dielectric stack-up of an existing production process.

To provide a viable photonic platform for most system applications, low polysilicon waveguide loss must be demonstrated at end-of-line in the integrated electronic platform. Significant academic work has reduced polysilicon waveguide losses from initial reports of 100-300 dB/cm [20] to the lowest demonstrated loss of 6.5 dB/cm [21] accompanied by several reports of waveguide loss below 20 dB/cm [10, 11, 22–25]. However, no demonstration of propagation loss below 10 dB/cm has been achieved for a polysilicon layer thickness below 200 nm to be compatible with scaled transistor gate thicknesses or in a process representative of electronic integration. These two differences have been demonstrated to have a large impact on waveguide loss in previous studies. In Fang *et al.*, the loss nearly doubled from 7.1 dB/cm to 14 dB/cm when the thickness of the polysilicon layer was reduced from 250 nm to 150 nm for the same 500 nm core width. The previous front-end integration work in bulk-CMOS processes demonstrated the impact of the thermal processing and the local dielectric environment of the electronics process. Significant loss increase was observed between as-crystallized polysilicon waveguides with ~10 dB/cm loss [25] and the end-of-line integrated waveguide losses of 55 dB/cm [17].

In this work, we demonstrate end-of-line 6.2 dB/cm loss at 1550 nm for a single-mode polysilicon waveguide with a 120 nm layer thickness in an electronics integration emulation process representative of a state-of-the-art DRAM product on 300 mm wafers. The emulation flow was chosen instead of the full fabrication flow to reduce cost, but all thermal cycling and wafer-level processes to produce the surrounding dielectric environment are performed to match the existing product. Since the wavelength band of operation for an integrated system is not constrained to 1550 nm, we measure waveguide propagation losses across the telecommunication O-, E-, S-, C- and L-bands (1260 nm to 1630 nm). Although the extracted bulk material absorption ranges from 4.4 cm⁻¹ to 7.8 cm⁻¹, confinement factor scaling enables single-mode waveguide propagation losses below 15 dB/cm across this wavelength range. By comparing the 120 nm polysilicon thickness waveguides to similarly prepared samples with a 200 nm polysilicon thickness, we estimate that despite a low top surface roughness of 0.3 nm RMS, the top surface roughness may account for up to 10% of the wide waveguide loss. To isolate the effect of the thermal processing, we compare waveguide loss from the full electronics emulation process to an as-crystallized wafer split to show that the thermal processing causes $\sim 25\%$ of the end-of-line polysilicon material loss. By then fitting the extracted material losses to a polysilicon absorption model based on grain-boundary defect state parameters from the literature, defect state absorption from the polysilicon is shown to be the dominant loss mechanism in both the as-crystallized and thermally processed

polysilicon samples. Only transverse-electric (TE) modes are considered in this work due to the high asymmetry of the thin-core waveguides.

2. Process overview

Leveraging previous extensive studies of polysilicon loss as a function of deposition and anneal conditions [22, 24], we selected an amorphous polysilicon deposition condition used for a transistor gate in an existing product and inserted a post-deposition anneal into the process flow to control grain formation. This deposition condition, similar to what has been used in previous polysilicon waveguide studies, differs from the more widely used transistor gate deposition conditions that typically occur above the roughly ~600 °C threshold for silicon crystal nucleation. In these higher temperature depositions, the crystals that form during growth form a columnar grain structure that produces a top surface roughness on the order of 5 nm RMS [17]. The theoretical loss prediction that would result from such a roughness is ~50 dB/cm for the TE mode of 400 nm wide, 120 nm thick polysilicon waveguide assuming a 50 nm correlation length to the roughness [26]. Utilizing low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) below the crystallization temperature, a smooth top surface of 0.3 nm RMS was achieved as measured by in-line atomic force microscopy (AFM). Prior to normal electronic thermal processing that would crystallize the amorphous film under an arbitrary condition, a ~950 °C, 20 s anneal was performed in an inert N2 atmosphere. The insertion of this anneal step after the polysilicon deposition has no impact on the electronic device performance due to the high thermal budget of the early front-end process. The polysilicon deposition and anneal occurs immediately after the well implant diffusion which involves a >1000 °C anneal for over an hour.

All wafer-level processing was performed in a commercial fabrication facility on 300 mm bulk silicon wafers. The polysilicon was deposited on a 200-300 nm oxide to match the shallow trench isolation used in the memory process. After deposition and anneal of 120 nm and 200 nm thick polysilicon films on different wafers, standard 193 nm photolithography and reactive ion etching (RIE) was performed to form the waveguides. Next, the wafers were split between those that would and would not be exposed to the full memory process thermal cycling. After thermal cycling was performed on a subset of the wafers, all wafers reported here were clad with the complete, multi-layer dielectric stack-up as is used in the existing memory product. Although all dielectric layers that are within 1 μ m of the polysilicon have a refractive index similar to that of fused silica, the heterogeneous electronic stack-up includes higher index layers such as silicon carbide that function as etch stops in the standard process.

Since the shallow trench isolation that formed the waveguide lower cladding was not sufficiently thick to isolate the optical mode from the substrate, die-level substrate removal was performed in an academic cleanroom for this study. This step may be obviated in an end product by increasing the thickness of the shallow trench isolation or the insertion of a deep trench isolation specifically for this purpose [18] to provide optical mode isolation. However, since these changes involve significant re-engineering of the front-end process, an alternative approach that would be suitable for an end system is localized substrate removal [17, 25, 27, 28]. In this work, blanket substrate removal was performed to minimize sample preparation complexity. To do this, the diced sample was mounted substrate-up on a 6-inch, oxidized



Fig. 1. (a) Cartoon cross-section of the memory process used for this work. All unlabeled dielectric layers in the immediate proximity of the waveguide core have a refractive index close to that of fused silica at the wavelengths of interest. (b) Waveguide mode profile contours for a narrow waveguide at 1550 nm with 120 nm polysilicon layer thickness illustrating the asymmetry introduced by the substrate removal and low polysilicon guided power fraction. (c) High-confinement waveguide modes such as that of an 800 nm waveguide width show no observable asymmetry and clearly confine the majority of the light in the polysilicon core region.

silicon wafer for thermal management using Crystalbond 509. The silicon substrate was then removed by using XeF_2 in a pulse-etch process. Etch cycles of 10 s interleaved by 50 s pump cycles to remove reaction products were repeated until the substrate was removed as monitored under an optical microscope. The high selectivity of silicon to oxide of the XeF_2 etchant enables cm-scale die to be processed without consuming a measureable fraction of the shallow trench isolation etch stop. The final cross section and resulting waveguide modes are shown in Fig. 1. The substrate removal process results in an asymmetric waveguide mode due to the refractive index asymmetry as shown in Fig. 1(b).

3. Test platform and measurement results

A set of test structures was designed to characterize the waveguide loss for each fabrication condition as a function of waveguide width and wavelength. The basic test cell is built from "paperclip" structures where different lengths of straight waveguides are connected by identical bends and coupling structures. Single-mode bends connect through 25 μ m tapers to the straight test section of various waveguide widths to ensure that the transmission of the fundamental mode is measured. These tapered regions of equal length can be seen in Fig. 2(b). Two sets of four total test section lengths are included for each test waveguide width and measurement wavelength range. The low loss test set comprised of three bend paperclips has total test section lengths of 60 μ m, 3.3 mm, 6.9 mm, 10.5 mm. The high loss test set comprised of single bend paperclips has total test section lengths of 20 μ m, 0.9 mm, 2.4 mm, 3.9 mm. An example micrograph of two such test cells is shown in Fig. 2(a). The differential transmission within a set of paperclips can then be related to the propagation loss as in the cutback method. Transmission measurements can only be compared within the set of high

(2)	1550	250 1			
(4)				DOD 142	350 1550
-		350 42		DOD 3A	350 1550
-	1550	350 3A			350 1550
				D C	350 1550
			200 000-200		300 1550
					200 1550
-	1550	300 3A			200 1550
	1550	300 DB			300 1550
			200 000-000	DOD 142	275 1550
-					275 1550
-	1550	275 3A		500 μm DB	275 1550
-					275 1550
(b)			50 μm	(c) 50 µm	

Fig. 2. (a) Optical micrograph of "paperclip" waveguide loss test structures for three waveguide widths. Four differential lengths each are used to measure propagation losses in two sets designed for high and low loss cases. (b) The width of the straight section is varied by introducing tapers between single-mode waveguide bends to ensure that the transmission of only the fundamental mode is measured. Single-mode lead widths of 376 nm, 476 nm and 600 nm are used for 1.25 μ m, 1.4 μ m and 1.55 μ m wavelength centers respectively. The chosen bend radius of 15 μ m reduces the total excess bending loss of the lead waveguides to below 1 dB. (c) Uniform grating couplers with 200 μ m long linear tapers are designed for 10.5 μ m input mode diameter for an 8° off-normal incident angle. Design grating periods 819 nm, 974 nm and 1067 nm with duty cycles 37.5%, 37.5% and 42.5% are used for 1.25 μ m, 1.4 μ m and 1.55 μ m wavelength centers respectively.

loss or low loss paperclip lengths such that the number of lead waveguide bends and total lead waveguide length are kept constant for each test structure. As a result, the total insertion loss associated with the couplers, lead waveguides, tapers and bends is kept constant for each measurement involved in a given calculation such that the differential loss between measurements is only affected by the propagation loss in the straight waveguide test sections under study. The mask includes waveguide loss test cells for 13 different waveguide widths between 200 nm and 2 μ m repeated for wavelength centers of 1550 nm, 1400 nm and 1250 nm. Broadband grating couplers with 150 nm bandwidth below 10 dB insertion loss are shown in Fig. 2(c). Conservative single-mode bend sizing for the lead waveguides then enables testing over the continuous wavelength spectrum from 1150 nm to 1650 nm.

Due to the limited availability of laser sources for this testing, reported results are restricted to the range of 1280 nm to 1630 nm. Input and output fiber coupling to the fabricated vertical coupler gratings occurs from the "back-side" of the sample where the silicon substrate has been removed during post-foundry processing. The "front-side" of the sample is mounted to the handle oxidized silicon wafer for structural support. Since the degeneracy between upwards and downwards radiation is not broken in the grating coupler design, the insertion loss is approximately equal for coupling from either side. Cleaved singlemode fibers with a mode field diameter (MFD) of 10.4 µm at 1550 nm wavelength are used for this coupling at an angle 8 degrees off from normal incidence. Input fiber TE polarization to the grating couplers is set through a paddle-based controller by minimizing the insertion loss in short waveguide test structures where the total transmission loss is dominated by the coupler insertion loss. Since the vertical grating coupler insertion loss at this incidence angle for the TM mode is greater than 30 dB per coupler, high input polarization selectivity is possible. Device-under-test (DUT) transmission loss is then measured by comparing received output power to a 10% power tap directional coupler output that is present in the input fiber path. Although only relative transmission loss measurements are used for the propagation loss calculations, the absolute transmission loss of the setup is calibrated as a function of

wavelength by replacing the DUT input and output fibers with a 1 meter fiber patch cord and recording the output fiber and tap fiber received powers. For all of the measurements reported in this work, the optical power of the input fiber was maintained to be approximately 1 mW.

The losses of the various test cells, shown in Fig. 3, were then measured for wafers with a 120 nm polysilicon thickness after the thermal cycling representative of the full electronics process. Several trends emerge from the data. First, reduced waveguide widths enable confinement factor scaling to reduce the contribution of the propagation loss from the polysilicon material as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). Measured propagation loss scales with decreasing confinement factor down to approximately 30%. This enables measured waveguide losses below 15 dB/cm across the telecommunications spectrum despite significantly higher waveguide loss at wider waveguide widths. A waveguide width of 350 nm enables the lowest reported propagation loss of 6.2 dB/cm for a thin-core polysilicon waveguide at 1550 nm.

The second trend is the abrupt end to the loss reduction through confinement factor scaling achieved by narrowing the waveguide width for each wavelength. If this were to be attributed to sidewall scattering having a larger impact on propagation loss, a more gradual transition to increased loss would be expected [26]. Additionally, mode solving simulations verify that the effective indices of the fundamental modes of these high loss widths are above that of any dielectric layers bordering the polysilicon core such that the waveguide is not close to cutoff. There is, however, a thin, high-index silicon carbide layer that is part of the backend electronic stack-up as an etch stop layer as shown in Fig. 1(a). The effective indices of the slab waveguide modes of this layer fall in between the effective indices of the low loss and high loss waveguide widths for each wavelength as shown in Fig. 4(b). Although the SiC layer is ~2 μ m away from the waveguide core, mode solving simulations show significant electric field overlap with the SiC layer, shown in Fig. 1(a), at the widths where the sudden loss increase is observed. Therefore phase-matched coupling between the dielectric etch stop layers and the waveguide mode may set the limit to confinement factor scaling of waveguide loss when integrated in similar electronic platforms.



Fig. 3. Measured propagation loss as a function of wavelength and waveguide width for a thermally processed wafer with a 120 nm polysilicon thickness. The error bars of the measurements are calculated from the 95% confidence intervals of the transmission loss as function of paperclip test section length measurements. Cross-wafer and wafer-to-wafer variability data was not taken. Measurements of different die from the reported wafers agreed within the precision of the propagation loss fit error bars reported. Simulation curves for the confinement factor scaling of waveguide bulk loss were then fit to the measured loss of the wide waveguides and are shown alongside the measured data for comparison.



Fig. 4. Simulated waveguide mode (a) confinement factor and (b) effective index. Confinement factor curves from (a) are multiplied by the extracted bulk loss to generate the fit curves shown in Fig. 3. Effective index curves are overlaid with the simulated 1D slab mode index for the SiC layer that is correlated with the observed loss increase across measured wavelengths.

A final trend visible from the data shown in Fig. 3 is a consistent increase in waveguide propagation loss at shorter wavelengths for wide waveguides. Since the majority of the optical power is guided in the polysilicon core region at these widths, this increase can be attributed to the bulk material loss scaling with wavelength. The approximate bulk material loss of the polysilicon can then be extracted by dividing the measured propagation loss of the wide waveguides by the simulated confinement factors. This data is then shown in Fig. 5(a) for a larger number of wavelengths by dividing the measured propagation loss by the simulated confinement factors for 1.5 μ m and 2.0 μ m waveguide widths shown in Fig. 4(a). This same analysis was also performed for wafers prepared identically to the data presented in Fig. 3 with the exception that the thermal cycling associated with the full electronics process was not performed. Comparing the two data sets, a 32% increase in the extracted material loss is observed as shown in Fig. 5(c) with a standard deviation of 6% across the wavelength range studied. Importantly, this increase is far below the order of magnitude increase that has been observed in previous work [17, 25].

To gain some insight into the source of the waveguide loss, the extracted wavelengthdependent bulk losses were compared to theoretical predictions. The material loss was calculated by accounting for electronic transitions between mid-gap states localized at grain boundaries and Bloch states in the conduction and valence bands. Assuming a constant average oscillator strength for all involved transitions between band and midgap states, the absorption coefficient calculated from Fermi's Golden Rule can be written as a function of the valence, conduction and midgap densities of states (DOS):

$$\alpha(\omega) = A \left[\int dE_{\nu} \rho_{\nu} \left(E_{\nu} \right) \rho_{g} \left(E_{\nu} + \hbar \omega \right) f \left(E_{\nu} \right) \left[1 - f \left(E_{\nu} + \hbar \omega \right) \right] \right]$$
$$+ \int dE_{g} \rho_{g} \left(E_{g} \right) \rho_{c} \left(E_{g} + \hbar \omega \right) f \left(E_{g} \right) \left[1 - f \left(E_{g} + \hbar \omega \right) \right]$$

The two integrals sum transitions from the valence band states (DOS ρ_v) to the midgap states (DOS ρ_g), and from the midgap states to the conduction band (DOS ρ_c), as diagrammed in Fig. 5(b). The Fermi distribution function, *f*, enforces that all considered transitions occur between filled initial and empty final states, separated by the photon energy. Unlike the valence and conduction band density of states, the midgap state density parameter represents only a functional form of the midgap state energy distribution, which is chosen to



Fig. 5. (a) Extracted propagation loss from measurements taken in waveguides of two widths, both immediately after the crystallization anneal and after the subsequent full thermal processing. Data from 2.0 μ m wide waveguides are plotted as squares and from 1.5 μ m waveguides as diamonds, along with fits, calculated as described in the text, to both sets of data. (b) Polysilicon density of states (DOS), plotted as a function of energy relative to the valence band-edge, used for the fit calculations - 23% difference in the height of the peak around 0.35 eV produced the difference between the two fits in (a). The pinned Fermi level and example optical transitions are indicated. (c) Fractional increase in material loss as a function of wavelength following full thermal processing.

be Gaussian. The prefactor A is then the product of the total density of midgap states and the defect-to-band transition oscillator strength, and is the sole fitting parameter in the calculation. This frequency-independent parameter serves to scale only the magnitude of the curve and not its shape.

The conduction and valence band DOS used in the calculation were taken from IBM's DAMOCLES calculations [29]. The mid-gap density of states used was matched to that deduced by Jackson et al. [30], who inferred that these localized gap states manifest themselves in a broad peak ~0.35 eV above the valence band edge, as shown in Fig. 5(b). They also deduced a strong valence band-tail DOS, which is observed not to play a strong role in our material and so not included in the calculation; since our material differs significantly from theirs (the observed loss is two orders of magnitude lower), the relative concentration of tail and mid-gap localized states are expected to be different, so appropriately including the band tailing would require the addition of at least one additional fitting parameter. The Fermilevel at the grain boundary edges was assumed to be pinned at the energy of maximum midgap state density.

The results of the fit, calculated as described above, to data taken in samples removed from the flow after the crystallization anneal, as well as after the full thermal processing, are shown in Fig. 5(a). The change in state density required to fit the measured absorption of the end-of-line and as-crystallized polysilicon can then be attributed to hydrogen out-gassing reducing the passivation-fraction of dangling bond states at the grain boundaries. The ~32% change in material loss is shown in reference to the measured data points in Fig. 5(c). This provides technological feedback for further waveguide loss reduction. For example, introduction of a forming gas anneal after the completion of front-end fabrication may effectively passivate the dangling bonds. At this point of the process, the maximum processing temperatures would be reduced below 500 °C due to the metal interconnect. As a result, effective passivation of dangling bonds, which have been shown to be the dominant loss source, may be introduced into the process without further hydrogen outgassing.

In this analysis, the scattering loss sources were assumed to be negligible in extracting the bulk material loss. The confinement factor scaling trends observed for all wavelengths suggest



Fig. 6. High-resolution scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of a 275 nm wide isolated polysilicon line that was used for line edge roughness extraction. An estimated roughness of 3 nm RMS with a 50 nm correlation length was obtained from this analysis.

that this assumption is justified. The sidewall roughness of the fabricated waveguides was estimated from the scanning electron micrograph (SEM) shown in Fig. 6 to be approximately 3 nm RMS with a 50 nm correlation length. This roughness is expected to be reduced by using more mature photolithography recipes than the one used for this test run due to a nonstandard choice of mask polarity. Still, the current roughness of the fabricated waveguides is expected to result in less than 2 dB/cm for high confinement width, single-mode waveguides at 1550 nm [26]. The sidewall roughness contributions for the widest waveguides that are used for the loss extraction should be further minimized by the low mode overlap at the waveguide edges as confirmed by the high correlation of extracted losses from the 1.5 μ m and 2.0 um test section widths. However, although the top surface roughness of the polysilicon was measured to be 0.3 nm RMS by AFM, the large electric field overlap with top surface still enables scattering loss from this surface to impact the net waveguide propagation loss. The impact of the top surface can be observed by comparing the 120 nm thickness samples presented above to a sample prepared with a 200 nm thick polysilicon layer. Comparing the propagation loss at a 2.0 µm width, the 200 nm thick waveguides are observed to have a smaller propagation loss than would be predicted by confinement factor scaling as shown in Table 1. The measured loss difference, however, is approximately 10% of the total loss. Although scattering from the sidewall and top surfaces do have a non-trivial impact on waveguide loss, the dominant loss mechanism is seen to be the bulk waveguide loss that was extracted for the defect absorption analysis. Deviations from bulk loss scaling follow scattering based trends evident by the electric field overlaps with relevant surfaces. For example, since the extracted material loss

_		120nm Thick, End-of- Line Polysilicon			120nm Thick, As- Crystalized Polysilicon	200nm Thick, End-of-Line Polysilicon
Material Loss Only	Design Width (µm)	0.35	0.55	2.00	2.00	2.00
	Extracted Material Loss (cm ⁻¹)	4.9			3.7	4.9
	Simulated Confinement Factor (%)	36.4	79.5	83.1	83.1	98.7
	Predicted Bulk Losss (dB/cm)	7.7	16.9	17.7	13.3	21.0
Including Scattering	Sidewall E-Field Overlap, ± 5nm (%)	0.42	0.63	0.075	0.075	0.096
	Top Surface E-Field Overlap, ± 5nm (%)	0.51	1.5	2.6	2.6	2.0
	Measured Loss (dB/cm)	6.2	19.4	17.6	13.6	18.1

USD Received 30 Jan 2012; revised 7 Mar 2012; accepted 12 Mar 2012; published 14 Mar 2012 26 March 2012 / Vol. 20, No. 7 / OPTICS EXPRESS 7253

#162227 - \$15.00 USD (C) 2012 OSA Table 1. Summary of experimentally measured waveguide losses, bulk absorption calculations and electric field overlaps with surfaces where roughness is a concern. Surface overlaps were calculated by integrating the electric field within 5 nm of each surface. All data presented is for $\lambda = 1550$ nm.

was obtained from the wide widths, the bulk loss extraction underestimates the loss of the 550 nm wide waveguide widths where the sidewall electric field overlap is an order of magnitude higher.

4. Conclusion

In this work, end-of-line polysilicon waveguides suitable for high-volume product integration have been demonstrated with propagation losses below 10 dB/cm for the first time. Low surface roughness enabled film thickness scaling below 200 nm with relatively low propagation loss increases. Characterizing the waveguide loss as a function of wavelength over a broad spectral region of technological interest enabled the dominant physical source of the loss to be identified as defect state absorption. Confinement factor scaling of the optical mode, which demonstrates the minimal effect of both top surface and line-edge roughness, enabled waveguide propagation losses of 6-15 dB/cm across this spectrum. These results have been achieved by optimizing the anneal conditions of the existing polysilicon transistor gate layer to minimize process complexity. This may enable a lower total system cost to the SPE approach that has achieved similar optical performance at 1550 nm [18]. By having performed this test in an emulation environment to eliminate road blocks to end product integration, it is now possible to explore next-generation memory systems that utilize this integrated photonic platform [3].

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Dr. Jagdeep Shah of DARPA for funding under contract number W911NF-10-1-0522. Karan Mehta is partially supported by a Department of Energy Science Graduate Fellowship.